arXiv:1002.4387v1 [math.DG] 23 Feb 2010

DEFORMATIONS OF $2k$-EINSTEIN STRUCTURES

LEVI LOPES DE LIMA AND NEWTON LUÍS SANTOS

Abstract. It is shown that the space of infinitesimal deformations of $2k$-Einstein structures is finite dimensional at compact non-flat space forms. Moreover, spherical space forms are shown to be rigid in the sense that they are isolated in the corresponding moduli space.

1. Introduction and statement of results

Let X be a compact connected manifold of dimension $n \geq 3$. We denote by $\Omega^p(X)$ the space of exterior p-forms on X. The space of double forms of bi-degree (p,q) is $\Omega^{p,q}(X) = \Omega^p(X) \otimes \Omega^q(X)$, the tensor product taken over the ring of smooth functions on X. Clearly, $\Omega(X) = \oplus_{p,q\geq 0}\Omega^{p,q}(X)$ is a bigraded associative algebra. For example, any bilinear form on tangent vectors is a $(1,1)$-form. In particular, if $\mathcal{M}(X)$ is the space of Riemannian metrics on X then any $g \in \mathcal{M}(X)$ is a $(1,1)$-form. Also, the curvature tensor R_g of g can be viewed as a $(2,2)$-form. In fact, if we define $\mathcal{C}^p(X) \subset \Omega^{p,p}(X)$ to be the space of (p,p)-forms satisfying the symmetry condition

$$\omega(x_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge x_p \otimes y_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge y_p) = \omega(y_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge y_p \otimes x_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge x_p),$$

then any bilinear symmetric form on tangents vectors (g in particular) belongs to $\mathcal{C}^1(X)$, and moreover $R_g \in \mathcal{C}^2(X)$ by its symmetries. An account of the formalism of double forms used in this paper can be found in [9], [10].

Let us consider a Riemannian manifold (X,g), with X as above. Then multiplication by the metric defines a map $g : \Omega^{p-1,q-1}(X) \to \Omega^{p,q}(X)$. Also, a contraction operator $c_g : \Omega^{p,q}(X) \to \Omega^{p-1,q-1}(X)$ is defined by

$$c_g\omega(x_1\wedge\ldots\wedge x_{p-1}\otimes y_1\wedge\ldots\wedge y_{p-1}) = \sum_i \omega(e_i\wedge x_1\wedge\ldots\wedge x_{p-1}\otimes e_i\wedge y_1\wedge\ldots\wedge y_{p-1}),$$

where $\{e_i\}$ is a local orthonormal tangent frame. Clearly, g and c_g are tensorial and it can be shown that, considered as operators on $\Lambda_x^{*,*}$, $x \in X$, they are adjoint to each other with respect to the natural inner product.

Remark 1.1. In the language of double forms, that a Riemannian manifold (X,g) has constant sectional curvature μ can be characterized by the identity $R_g = \frac{\mu}{2}g^2$.

Contraction can be used to rewrite the Ricci tensor $\mathcal{R}_g$ and the scalar curvature $\mathcal{S}_g$ of (X,g) as $\mathcal{R}_g = c_gR_g$ and $\mathcal{S}_g = c_g^2R_g$. More generally, we set for any $1 \leq k \leq$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 53C25; Secondary 58J05.

Key words and phrases. $2k$-Einstein structures, moduli space, rigidity.

The first author was supported in part by a CNPq grant.

The second author was supported in part by the CNPq postdoctoral grant 155723/2006-5.

$n/2$,

$$\mathcal{R}_g^{(2k)} = c_g^{2k-1} R_g^k, \quad \mathcal{S}_g^{(2k)} = \frac{1}{(2k)!} c_g^{2k} R_g^k. \tag{1.1}$$

These are the $2k$-Ricci tensor and the $2k$-curvature, respectively. We also consider the $2k$-Einstein-Lovelock tensor [10] [11]

$$\mathcal{T}_g^{(2k)} = \frac{\mathcal{R}_g^{(2k)}}{(2k-1)!} - \mathcal{S}_g^{(2k)} g.$$

For $k = 1$ we recover the standard notions of Ricci tensor, (half) the scalar curvature and the Einstein tensor. We remark that, as shown in [11], the Einstein-Lovelock tensors span the subspace of $\mathcal{C}^1$ formed by divergence free elements which depend on the derivatives of g up to second order. Also, these geometric invariants play a fundamental role in stringy gravity [5].

Following [10] we say that (X, g) is $2k$-Einstein if there exists a smooth function λ on X such that

$$\mathcal{R}_g^{(2k)} = \lambda g.$$

Thus, 2-Einstein means precisely that (X, g) is Einstein in the usual sense. It is shown in [10] that $2k$-Einstein metrics are critical for the Einstein-Hilbert-Lovelock functional

$$\mathcal{A}^{(2k)}(g) = \int_X \mathcal{S}_g^{(2k)} \nu_g,$$

restricted to the space $\mathcal{M}_1(X)$ of metrics of unit volume. Here, ν_g is the volume element of g. Examples of $2k$-Einstein manifolds include spaces forms and isotropically irreducible homogeneous Riemannian manifolds. Also, if $2k = n$ then any metric is $2k$-Einstein, since $\mathcal{S}_g^{(n)}$ is, up to a constant, the Gauss-Bonnet integrand. Thus we may assume $n > 2k$ in what follows. Under this assumption a standard argument using the fact that $\mathcal{T}_g^{(2k)}$ is divergence free implies that λ is actually a constant; in fact, since $\mathsf{tr}_g \mathcal{R}_g^{(2k)} = \langle c_g^{2k-1} R_g^k, g\rangle = c_g^{2k} R_g^k = (2k)! \mathcal{S}_g^{(2k)}$, we have

$$\lambda = \frac{(2k)!}{n} \mathcal{S}_g^{(2k)}, \tag{1.2}$$

so that $\mathcal{S}_g^{(2k)}$ is constant as well.

At this point we follow [4] and appeal to a theorem of Moser [12]: if $g, g' \in \mathcal{M}_1(X)$ there exists a diffeomorphism $\varphi : X \to X$ such that $\nu_{g'} = \varphi^* \nu_g$. Thus, in order to understand the structure of the moduli space $\mathcal{E}_{2k}(X)$ of $2k$-Einstein metrics on X, after moding out by the standard action of the diffeomorphism group $\mathfrak{D}(X)$ of X on $\mathcal{M}_1(X)$, we may restrict ourselves to the space $\mathcal{N}_\nu = \{g \in \mathcal{M}(X); \nu_g = \nu\}$ of metrics with a fixed volume element ν. If g is $2k$-Einstein, the corresponding element in $\mathcal{E}_{2k}(X)$ will be represented by $[g]$, and will be referred to as a $2k$-Einstein structure. We note that $2k$-Einstein metrics are critical points for $\mathcal{A}^{(2k)}$ restricted to $\mathcal{N}_\nu$.

A first step toward understanding the structure of $\mathcal{E}_{2k}(X)$ is to estimate the dimension of the space of infinitesimal deformations of $2k$-Einstein structures at a given $[g] \in \mathcal{E}_{2k}(X)$. To motivate this approach, consider first order jets $h = dg_t/dt|_{t=0}$ of one-parameter families (i.e. *deformations*) $g_t \in \mathcal{N}_{\nu_g}$ of $2k$-Einstein metrics with $g_0 = g$. Naturally, here we should discard *trivial* deformations of the type $g_t = \varphi_t^* g$ for a one parameter family of diffeomorphisms with $\varphi_0 = \mathsf{Id}_X$. It is known [4] that these jets are characterized by $h \in \mathsf{Im}\ \delta_g^*$, where $\delta_g^* : \Omega^1(X) \to \mathcal{C}^1(X)$

is the L^2 adjoint of $\delta_g : \mathcal{C}^1(X) \to \Omega^1(X)$, the standard divergence operator acting on symmetric 2-tensors. Thus, by results in [2], essential deformations necessarily satisfy $\delta_g h = 0$, which means that h is orthogonal to the tangent space at g of the orbit of g with respect to the action of $\mathfrak{D}(X)$ on $\mathcal{M}_1(X)$. Also, since in general there holds

$$\dot{\nu}_g h \doteq \frac{d}{dt}\nu_{g_t}|_{t=0} = \frac{1}{2}\mathsf{tr}_g h\, \nu_g, \tag{1.3}$$

we must add the condition $\mathsf{tr}_g h = 0$, which is precisely the infinitesimal way of saying that $g_t \in \mathcal{N}_{\nu_g}$. In what follows we shall retain the dot notation for the linearization of other invariants of g.

Remark 1.2. Our sign convention for δ_g is

$$(\delta_g \omega)(x) = -\sum_i (\nabla_{e_i}\omega)(e_i, x),$$

where ∇ is the covariant derivative on $\mathcal{C}^1(X)$ induced by the Levi-Civita connection of g, also denoted by ∇.

Notice also that if g_t is a deformation of $2k$-Einstein metrics with $g_0 = g$ then we have $\mathcal{R}^{(2k)}_{g_t} = \lambda_{g_t} g_t$, which yields, after (1.2),

$$\dot{\mathcal{R}}^{(2k)}_g h = \frac{(2k)!}{n}\dot{\mathcal{S}}^{(2k)}_g h g + \lambda_g h.$$

Now, each $\mathcal{S}^{(2k)}_{g_t}$ is constant over X so that $\mathcal{S}^{(2k)}_{g_t} = \mathcal{A}^{(2k)}(g_t)$, and since g_t is critical for $\mathcal{A}^{(2k)}$ restricted to $\mathcal{N}_{\nu_g}$, the above discussion justifies the following definition.

Definition 1.3. If (X, g) is $2k$-Einstein, $\mathcal{R}^{(2k)}_g = \lambda_g g$, the space of infinitesimal $2k$-Einstein deformation at $[g]$, denoted $\mathfrak{M}^{(2k)}_{[g]}$, is the vector space of all $h \in \mathcal{C}^1(X)$ such that

$$\dot{\mathcal{R}}^{(2k)}_g h = \lambda_g h, \tag{1.4}$$

and

$$\delta_g h = 0, \quad \mathsf{tr}_g h = 0. \tag{1.5}$$

Thus, if we set $\mathcal{I}_g = \delta_g^{-1}(0) \cap \mathsf{tr}_g^{-1}(0)$ and $\mathcal{L}^{(2k)}_g = \dot{\mathcal{R}}^{(2k)}_g - \lambda_g$ then $\mathfrak{M}^{(2k)}_{[g]} = \ker \mathcal{L}^{(2k)}_g|_{\mathcal{I}_g}$. In particular, since X is compact, $\mathfrak{M}^{(2k)}_{[g]}$ is finite dimensional if $\mathcal{L}^{(2k)}_g|_{\mathcal{I}_g}$ is elliptic.

A theorem of Ebin [6] shows that $\mathcal{I}_g$ can be ‘exponentiated’ to yield a local slice $\mathfrak{S}_g$ for the $\mathfrak{D}(X)$-action.

Definition 1.4. The space of all $2k$-Einstein metrics in $\mathfrak{S}_g$ is called the *pre-moduli space around* g, denoted $\mathcal{E}_{2k}(X)_g$.

The moduli space itself $\mathcal{E}_{2k}(X)$ is locally obtained after dividing $\mathcal{E}_{2k}(X)_g$ by the isometry group of g. In what follows, however, we shall completely ignore this issue and work with $\mathcal{E}_{2k}(X)_g$ directly.

It has been shown in [2] that $\mathcal{L}^{(2)}_g|_{\mathcal{I}_g}$ is elliptic for any (X, g) Einstein, thus showing affirmatively the finite dimensionality of $\mathfrak{M}^{(2k)}_{[g]}$ in the case $k = 1$. If $k \geq 2$, however, this is not generally true as $\mathfrak{M}^{(2k)}_{[g]}$ may be infinite dimensional for certain choices of (X,g), reflecting the fact that $\mathcal{L}_g^{(2k)}|_{\mathcal{I}_g}$ might be of mixed type. For example, consider the Riemennian product $X = M^r \times T^m$, where M is an arbitrary Riemannian manifold and T^m is a flat torus. If $2k > r$ then X is $2k$-Einstein, irrespective of the metric on M, thus showing that $\dim \mathfrak{M}_{[g]}^{(2k)} = +\infty$ in this case. In view of this example, it is an interesting to find $2k$-Einstein structures (X,g) for which $\mathfrak{M}_{[g]}^{(2k)}$ is finite dimensional. In this respect, we prove here:

Theorem 1.5. *If (X,g) is a compact non-flat space form then $\mathfrak{M}_{[g]}^{(2k)}$ is finite dimensional. Moreover, if (X,g) is spherical then $\mathfrak{M}_{[g]}^{(2k)}$ is trivial.*

Thus, adapting the terminology in [7], we see that spherical space forms are infinitesimally $2k$-non-deformable.

Again in accordance with [7] we say that $[g]$ is $2k$*-non-deformable* if any deformation g_t of g by $2k$-Einstein metrics is trivial. Using Theorem 1.5 and a result due to Koiso [7] we can check without effort that spherical space forms are indeed $2k$-non-deformable. However we can adapt an argument in [4] to show a much stronger result, namely, that spherical space forms are rigid.

Theorem 1.6. *If (X,g) is a compact spherical space form then $[g]$ is an isolated point in $\mathcal{E}_{2k}(X)_g$.*

In particular, the only way of locally deforming the standard metric on the unit sphere by $2k$-Einstein metrics is by dilations. This should be compared to an old result by Berger [3], where rigidity results have been proved for sufficiently positively pinched Einstein structures.

In fact, Theorem 1.6 will be deduced here from a more detailed structure result for the moduli space near space forms.

Theorem 1.7. *Near to a compact non-flat space form (X,g), $\mathcal{E}_{2k}(X)_g$ has the structure of a real analytic subset which in turn is contained in a finite dimensional analytic manifold whose tangent space at $[g]$ is precisely $\mathfrak{M}_{[g]}^{(2k)}$.*

2. Some preliminary facts

The proof of Theorems 1.5 and 1.6 rely on several facts established in [9] and [10] that we recall in this section. We start with a commutation formula for powers of g and c_g. It is easy to check that

$$c_g g\omega = g c_g \omega + (n-p-q)\omega, \qquad \omega \in \Omega^{p,q}(X). \tag{2.1}$$

More generally, it is proved in [9] that, for $\omega \in \Omega^{p,q}(X)$,

$$\frac{1}{m!}c_g^l g^m \omega = \frac{1}{m!} g^m c_g^l \omega + \sum_{r=1}^{\min\{l,m\}} C_r^l \prod_{i=0}^{r-1}(n-p-q+l-m-i)\frac{g^{m-r}}{(m-r)!}c_g^{l-r}\omega, \tag{2.2}$$

where C_r^l is the standard binomial coefficient. This identity will reveal itself to be extremely important in the sequel.

Also, for $h \in \mathcal{C}^1(X)$ it is defined in [10], the linear map $F_h : \mathcal{C}^p(X) \to \mathcal{C}^p(X)$,

$$F_h\omega(e_{i_1}\wedge\ldots\wedge e_{i_p}, e_{j_1}\wedge\ldots\wedge e_{j_p}) = \Big(\sum_{k=1}^p h(e_{i_k},e_{i_k}) + \sum_{k=1}^p h(e_{j_k},e_{j_k})\Big)\omega(e_{i_1}\wedge\ldots\wedge e_{i_p}, e_{j_1}\wedge\ldots\wedge e_{j_p}).$$

It turns out that F_h has many interesting properties (it is self-adjoint, acts as a derivation, etc.) but here we will only need the following ones.

- $F_h(g^p) = 2pg^{p-1}h$ for $p \geq 1$;
- If, as before, R_g is the curvature tensor of g,
$$\begin{aligned} F_h(R_g)(x\wedge y, z\wedge u) &= h(R_g(x,y)z,u) - h(R_g(x,y)u,z) + \\ &\quad + h(R_g(z,u)x,y) - h(R_g(z,u)y,x), \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$
where in the right-hand side R_g is viewed as a $(3,1)$-tensor.

Also, consider the second order differential operator $\mathcal{D}^2 : \mathcal{C}^1(X) \to \mathcal{C}^2(X)$ given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}^2 h(x_1\wedge x_2, y_1\wedge y_2) &= \nabla^2_{y_1,x_1} h(x_2,y_2) + \nabla^2_{x_1,y_1} h(x_2,y_2) \\ &\quad + \nabla^2_{y_2,x_2} h(x_1,y_1) + \nabla^2_{x_2,y_2} h(x_1,y_1) \\ &\quad - \nabla^2_{y_1,x_2} h(x_1,y_2) - \nabla^2_{x_2,y_1} h(x_1,y_2) \\ &\quad - \nabla^2_{y_2,x_1} h(x_2,y_1) - \nabla^2_{x_1,y_2} h(x_2,y_1), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\nabla^2_{x,y} h(w,z) = \nabla_x(\nabla_y h)(w,z) - (\nabla_{\nabla_x y} h)(w,z).$$

Then it is shown in [10] that the linearization of the tensor curvature, projected on $\mathcal{C}^2(X)$, is given by

$$\dot{R}_g h = -\frac{1}{4}\mathcal{D}^2 h + \frac{1}{4} F_h(R_g). \tag{2.4}$$

Later on we will show that, on a $2k$-Einstein manifold with constant curvature, the second order part of $\mathcal{L}_g^{(2k)}$ is completely determined by the first and second contractions of $\dot{R}_g$. Thus, in view of (2.4), we need to determine the first and second contractions of $\mathcal{D}^2$ and $F_h(R_g)$. The result, for a general metric g, appears in the following lemma, whose formulation requires some more notation.

Definition 2.1. If $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{C}^1(X)$ we set

$$(\xi\circ\eta)(v,w) = \sum_{i=1}^n \xi(v,e_i)\eta(e_i,w). \tag{2.5}$$

Moreover, $\mathfrak{R} : \mathcal{C}^1(X) \to \mathcal{C}^1(X)$ is defined by

$$(\mathfrak{R}h)(v,w) = \sum_{i=1}^n h(R(v,e_i)w, e_i).$$

Lemma 2.2. *For a general metric g on X the following identities hold:*

1. *if $\nabla^*\nabla$ is the Bochner Laplacian on $\mathcal{C}^1(X)$,*
$$\begin{aligned} c_g\mathcal{D}^2 h &= -2(\nabla^*\nabla h) + 2\nabla^g d\mathsf{tr}_g h + 4\delta_g^*\delta_g h - \\ &\quad -(\mathcal{R}_g\circ h + h\circ\mathcal{R}_g) + 2\mathfrak{R}h. \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$
2. *if Δ_g is the Laplacian of g,*
$$c_g^2(\mathcal{D}^2 h) = -4\Delta_g\mathsf{tr}_g h - 4\delta_g\delta_g h. \tag{2.7}$$
3. $c_g F_h(R_g) = \mathcal{R}_g\circ h + h\circ\mathcal{R}_g + 2\mathfrak{R}h.$
4. $c_g^2 F_h(R_g) = 4\langle\mathcal{R}_g, h\rangle.$

The proofs of items 3. and 4. are rather easy computations. In fact, using (2.3) and Definition 2.1, we compute:

$$\begin{aligned} c_gF_h(R_g)(x,y) &= \sum_i F_h(R_g)(e_i\wedge x, e_i\wedge y) \\ &= \sum_i \Big(h(R_g(e_i,x)e_i,y) - h(R_g(e_i,x)y,e_i) + \\ &\qquad\qquad + h(R_g(e_i,y)e_i,x) - h(R_g(e_i,y)x,e_i)\Big) \\ &= (\mathcal{R}_g\circ h + h\circ\mathcal{R}_g)(x,y) + 2(\mathfrak{R}h)(x,y). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$c_g^2F_h(R_g) = c_g(\mathcal{R}_g\circ h + h\circ\mathcal{R}_g + 2\mathfrak{R}h) = 4\langle\mathcal{R}_g, h\rangle.$$

The proofs of the remaining items are a bit more involved. In fact, we have

$$\begin{aligned} c_g(\mathcal{D}^2h)(e_j,e_k) &= \sum_i \mathcal{D}^2h(e_i\wedge e_j, e_i\wedge e_k) \\ &= \sum_i \Big[2\nabla^2_{e_i,e_i}h(e_j,e_k) + \Big(\nabla^2_{e_k,e_j}h(e_i,e_i) + \nabla^2_{e_j,e_k}h(e_i,e_i)\Big) - \\ &\qquad - \Big(\nabla^2_{e_k,e_i}h(e_j,e_i) + \nabla^2_{e_j,e_i}h(e_i,e_k)\Big) - \\ &\qquad - \Big(\nabla^2_{e_i,e_j}h(e_i,e_k) + \nabla^2_{e_i,e_k}h(e_j,e_i)\Big)\Big]. \end{aligned}$$

We can use Ricci identities to rewrite the last term above as

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla^2_{e_i,e_j}h(e_i,e_k) + \nabla^2_{e_i,e_k}h(e_i,e_j) &= \nabla^2_{e_j,e_i}h(e_i,e_k) + \nabla^2_{e_k,e_i}h(e_i,e_j) + \\ &\quad + h(R(e_i,e_j)e_i,e_k) + h(e_i,R(e_i,e_j)e_k) + \\ &\quad + h(R(e_i,e_k)e_i,e_j) + h(e_i,R(e_i,e_k)e_j), \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} c_g(\mathcal{D}^2h)(e_j,e_k) &= \sum_i \Big[2\left(\nabla^2_{e_i,e_i}h\right)(e_j,e_k) + \Big(\nabla^2_{e_k,e_j}h + \nabla^2_{e_j,e_k}h\Big)(e_i,e_i) - \\ &\qquad -2\Big(\nabla^2_{e_k,e_i}h(e_i,e_j) + \nabla^2_{e_j,e_i}h(e_i,e_k)\Big) - \\ &\qquad -\Big(h(R(e_i,e_j)e_i,e_k) + h(e_i,R(e_i,e_j)e_k) + \\ &\qquad + h(R(e_i,e_k)e_i,e_j) + h(e_i,R(e_i,e_k)e_j)\Big)\Big] \\ &= -2(\nabla^*\nabla h)(e_j,e_k) + \\ &\quad + \Big(\nabla d\mathsf{tr}_g h(e_k,e_j) + \nabla d\mathsf{tr}_g h(e_j,e_k)\Big) - \\ &\quad + 4\delta_g^*\delta_g h(e_k,e_j) - (\mathcal{R}_g\circ h)(e_j,e_k) - \\ &\quad - (h\circ\mathcal{R}_g)(e_j,e_k) + 2(\mathfrak{R}h)(e_j,e_k), \end{aligned}$$

and the first item follows. Finally, using the symmetries due to the fact that $\mathcal{D}^2h\in\mathcal{C}^2(X)$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} c_g^2\mathcal{D}^2h &= \sum_{ij}\mathcal{D}^2h(e_i\wedge e_j, e_i\wedge e_j) \\ &= \sum_{ij}\Big(4(\nabla^2_{e_i,e_i}h)(e_j,e_j) - 4(\nabla^2_{e_i,e_j}h)(e_i,e_j)\Big). \end{aligned}$$

Now notice that

$$\sum_{ij}\nabla^2_{e_i,e_i}h(e_j,e_j)=-\Delta_g\mathsf{tr}_g(h),\qquad \sum_{ij}\nabla^2_{e_i,e_j}h(e_i,e_j)=\delta_g(\delta_g h), \tag{2.8}$$

and the second item follows. Lemma 2.2 is proved.

Remark 2.3. Under the constant curvature condition $R_g=\frac{\mu}{2}g^2$ (see Remark 1.2) we have $\mathcal{R}_g=(n-1)\mu g$, so that

$$\mathcal{R}_g\circ h+h\circ\mathcal{R}_g=(n-1)\mu(g\circ h+h\circ g)=2(n-1)\mu h \tag{2.9}$$

and

$$\mathfrak{R}h=\mu(\mathrm{tr}_g h\, g-h). \tag{2.10}$$

3. The proof of Theorem 1.5

As already observed in Remark 1.2, if (X,g) has constant sectional curvature μ then its curvature tensor can be expressed as $R_g=\frac{\mu}{2}g^2$, so that its $2k$-Ricci tensor can be computed using (2.2) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{R}_g^{(2k)} &= c_g^{2k-1}\left(\frac{\mu^k}{2^k}g^{2k}\right)\\
&= \frac{\mu^k}{2^k}c_g^{2k-1}g^{2k-1}g\\
&= \frac{\mu^k}{2^k}(2k-1)!\sum_{r=1}^{2k-1}C_r^{2k-1}\prod_{i=0}^{r-1}(n-2-i)\frac{g^{2k-1-r}}{(2k-1-r)!}c_g^{2k-1-r}g\\
&= \frac{\mu^k}{2^k}(2k)!\prod_{i=0}^{2k-3}(n-2-i)(n-1)g\\
&= \frac{(n-1)!(2k)!}{(n-2k)!2^k}\mu^k g.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, g is a $2k$-Einstein metric, $\mathcal{R}_g^{(2k)}=\lambda_k g$, with

$$\lambda_k=(n-1)(n-2)C_{n,k}\mu^k,\qquad C_{n,k}=\frac{(2k)!(n-3)!}{2^k(n-2k)!}. \tag{3.1}$$

From (1.1) we have in general

$$\dot{\mathcal{R}}_g^{(2k)}h=(2k-1)(\dot c_g h)c_g^{2k-2}R_g^k+kc_g^{2k-1}R_g^{k-1}\dot R_g h, \tag{3.2}$$

which specializes to

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{\mathcal{R}}_g^{(2k)}h &= \frac{(2k-1)\mu^k}{2^k}(\dot c_g h)c^{2k-2}g^{2k}+\frac{k\mu^{k-1}}{2^{k-1}}c_g^{2k-1}g^{2k-2}\dot R_g h\\
&\doteq A_g h+B_g h \qquad (3.3)
\end{aligned}$$

in the constant curvature case. We now identify the terms $A_g h$ and $B_g h$ in this expression.

We start with A_gh, which should be a zero order term in h (no derivatives). To begin with observe that (2.2) implies

$$\begin{aligned}
c_g^{2k-2}\left(\frac{g^{2k-1}}{(2k-1)!}g\right) &= \sum_{r=1}^{2k-2} C_r^{2k-2}\prod_{i=0}^{r-1}(n-3-i)\frac{g^{2k-1-r}}{(2k-1-r)!}c_g^{2k-2-r}g\\
&= (2k-2)\prod_{i=0}^{2k-4}(n-3-i)\frac{g^2}{2}c_g g+\prod_{i=0}^{2k-3}(n-3-i)g^2\\
&= \prod_{i=0}^{2k-4}(n-3-i)\Big(n(k-1)+(n-2k)\Big)g^2\\
&= \frac{(n-2)!k}{(n-2k)!}\,g^2,
\end{aligned}$$

that is

$$c_g^{2k-2}g^{2k}=\frac{(n-2)!(2k)!}{2(n-2k)!}\,g^2, \tag{3.4}$$

which gives

$$A_gh=\frac{(2k-1)(n-2)!(2k)!\mu^k}{(n-2k)!2^{k+1}}(\dot{c}_gh)g^2.$$

Now if we linearize the identity $c_gg^2=2(n-1)g$ in the direction of h we obtain, with the help of (2.1),

$$\begin{aligned}
2(n-1)h &= (\dot{c}_gh)g^2+2c_ggh\\
&= (\dot{c}_gh)g^2+2\mathsf{tr}_gh\,g+2(n-2)h,
\end{aligned}$$

so that

$$(\dot{c}_gh)g^2=2\left(h-\mathsf{tr}_ghg\right),$$

implying

$$A_gh=(2k-1)(n-2)C_{n,k}\mu^k(h-\mathsf{tr}_ghg). \tag{3.5}$$

In order to compute B_gh we make use of (2.2) with $k>1$, an assumption implying in particular that $c_g^{2k-1}\dot{R}_gh=0$ since $\dot{R}_gh\in\mathcal{C}^2(X)$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
c_g^{2k-1}\left(\frac{g^{2k-2}}{(2k-2)!}\dot{R}_gh\right) &= \sum_{r=1}^{2k-2} C_r^{2k-1}\prod_{i=0}^{r-1}(n-3-i)\frac{g^{2k-2-r}}{(2k-2-r)!}c_g^{2k-1-r}\dot{R}_gh\\
&= (2k-1)(k-1)\prod_{i=0}^{2k-4}(n-3-i)gc_g^2\dot{R}_gh+(2k-1)\times\\
&\qquad\times\prod_{i=0}^{2k-3}(n-3-i)c_g\dot{R}_gh\\
&= (2k-1)\prod_{i=0}^{2k-4}(n-3-i)\big((k-1)gc_g^2\dot{R}_gh+\\
&\qquad+(n-2k)c_g\dot{R}_gh\big),
\end{aligned}$$

so that

$$c_g^{2k-1}g^{2k-2}\dot{R}_g h = \frac{(2k-1)!(n-3)!}{(n-2k)!}\Big((k-1)(c_g^2\dot{R}_g h)g + (n-2k)c_g\dot{R}_g h\Big),$$

which gives

$$B_g h = C_{n,k}\mu^{k-1}\Big((k-1)(c_g^2\dot{R}_g h)g + (n-2k)c_g\dot{R}_g h\Big). \tag{3.6}$$

This shows that, in the constant curvature case, $B_g h$ is completely determined by the first and second contractions of the projection of $\dot{R}_g h$ on $\mathcal{C}^2(X)$. Now, these contractions have essentially been computed in Lemma 2.2. In fact, inserting the expressions there in (2.4) we find that

$$c_g\dot{R}_g h = \frac{1}{2}\left(\nabla^*\nabla h - \nabla d\mathsf{tr}_g h - 2\delta_g^*\delta_g h + \mathcal{R}_g\circ h + h\circ\mathcal{R}_g\right) \tag{3.7}$$

and

$$c_g^2\dot{R}_g h = \Delta_g\mathsf{tr}_g h + \delta_g(\delta_g h) + \langle\mathcal{R}_g, h\rangle, \tag{3.8}$$

so that by (3.6) we get

$$\begin{aligned} B_g h &= C_{n,k}\mu^{k-1}\Big((k-1)\left(\Delta_g\mathsf{tr}_g h + \delta_g(\delta_g h) + \langle\mathcal{R}_g, h\rangle\right)g + \\ &\quad + \frac{n-2k}{2}\Big(\nabla^*\nabla h - \nabla d\mathsf{tr}_g h - \\ &\qquad -2\delta_g^*\delta_g h + (\mathcal{R}_g\circ h + h\circ\mathcal{R}_g)\Big)\Big). \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

Finally, using Remark 2.3 to specialize to the constant curvature case, together with (2.4) and (3.5) we obtain an expression for $\dot{\mathcal{R}}_g^{(2k)}$ and hence for $\mathcal{L}_g^{(2k)} = \dot{\mathcal{R}}_g^{(2k)} - \lambda_k$, namely,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_g^{(2k)}h &= C_{n,k}\mu^{k-1}\Big((k-1)\Big(\Delta_g\mathrm{tr}_g(h) + \delta_g(\delta_g h) - \\ &\qquad - \frac{(k(n-3)+1)}{k-1}\mu\mathrm{tr}_g h\Big)g + \\ &\quad + \frac{n-2k}{2}\Big(\nabla^*\nabla h - \nabla d\mathrm{tr}_g h - 2\delta_g^*\delta_g h + 2\mu h\Big)\Big). \end{aligned}$$

In the sequel we shall use two special cases of this formula, namely,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_g^{(2k)}\Big|_{\mathrm{tr}_g^{-1}(0)} &= C_{n,k}\mu^{k-1}\Big(\frac{n-2k}{2}\nabla^*\nabla - (n-2k)\delta_g^*\delta_g + \\ &\qquad +(k-1)\delta_g\delta_g(\cdot)g + (n-2k)\mu\Big) \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

and

$$\mathcal{L}_g^{(2k)}\Big|_{\mathcal{I}_g} = (n-2k)C_{n,k}\mu^{k-1}\Big(\frac{1}{2}\nabla^*\nabla + \mu\Big). \tag{3.11}$$

In particular, since $\nabla^*\nabla$ is elliptic, (3.11) already shows that $\dim \mathfrak{M}^{(2k)}_{[g]} < +\infty$ if $\mu \neq 0$, thus proving the first part of Theorem 1.5.

To show that spherical space forms are infinitesimally $2k$-non-deformable, we assume $\mu > 0$ and integrate (3.11) with $0 \neq h \in \mathcal{I}_g$, so that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_X \langle \mathcal{L}^{(2k)}_g h, h\rangle \nu_g \\ &= (n-2k)C_{n,k}\mu^{k-1}\left(\frac{1}{2}\int_X |\nabla h|^2\nu_g + \mu\int_X |h|^2\nu_g\right) \\ &\geq (n-2k)C_{n,k}\mu^k\int_X |h|^2\nu_g \\ &> 0, \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction, thus showing that $\mathfrak{M}^{(2k)}_g = \ker \mathcal{L}^{(2k)}_g|_{\mathcal{I}_g}$ is trivial.

4. THE PROOF OF THEOREMS 1.6 AND 1.7

As remarked in the Introduction, it is not hard to combine the above information on $\mathcal{L}^{(2k)}_g|_{\mathcal{I}_g}$, namely, that it is a self-adjoint positive elliptic operator, with results in [7] to check that spherical space forms are $2k$-non-deformable indeed. However, we will be able to prove a much stronger result. The idea here is to adapt an argument in [3], page 351, which consists in replacing the operator $\mathcal{L}^{(2k)}_g|_{\mathrm{tr}^{-1}(0)}$, which is obviously non-elliptic, by an elliptic one. In order to implement this strategy, we need some more notation.

First, the fact that the $2k$-Einstein-Lovelock tensor $\mathcal{T}^{(2k)}_g$ is divergence free, for any metric g, can be expressed as

$$\delta_g \mathcal{R}^{(2k)}_g + (2k-1)!d\mathcal{S}^{(2k)}_g = 0. \tag{4.1}$$

We now introduce the functional $\mathcal{G} : \mathcal{M}_1(X) \to \mathcal{C}^1(X)$,

$$\mathcal{G}(g) = \mathcal{R}^{(2k)}_g - \frac{(2k)!}{n}\mathcal{A}^{(2k)}(g)g,$$

and the $(2k)$-Bianchi operator $\beta^{(2k)}_g : \mathcal{C}^1(X) \to \Omega^1(X)$,

$$\beta^{(2k)}_g = \delta_g + \frac{1}{2k}d\mathsf{tr}_g.$$

The following lemma is a direct consequence of the definitions.

Lemma 4.1. *The following properties hold:*

1. *g is $2k$-Einstein if and only if $\mathcal{G}(g) = 0$;*
2. *If g is $2k$-Einstein then $\dot{\mathcal{G}}_g = \mathcal{L}^{(2k)}_g$ on $\mathcal{C}^1(X)$.*
3. *For any g, $\beta^{(2k)}_g\mathcal{G}(g) = 0$. In particular, if g is $2k$-Einstein, $\beta^{(2k)}_g\mathcal{L}^{(2k)}_g = 0$.*

The identity $\beta^{(2k)}_g\mathcal{L}^{(2k)}_g = 0$ means that $\mathcal{L}^{(2k)}_g$ is not surjective but instead satisfies a first order differential equation coming from the diffeomorphism invariance of the $2k$-Einstein condition. This is of course a serious obstruction to using the Implicit Function Theorem to probe the local structure of the moduli space. As a way to overcome this we use (3.10) and introduce, for $h \in \mathsf{tr}_g^{-1}(0)$, the elliptic operator

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_g^{(2k)} h &= \mathcal{L}_g^{(2k)} h + (n-2k)C_{n,k}\mu^{k-1}\delta_g^*\delta_g h - (k-1)C_{n,k}\mu^{k-1}(\delta_g\delta_g h)g \\ &= (n-2k)C_{n,k}\mu^{k-1}\left(\frac{1}{2}\nabla^*\nabla h + \mu h\right).\end{aligned}$$

Notice that the identity $\mathsf{tr}_g\nabla^*\nabla h = \Delta_g \mathsf{tr}_g h$ gives

$$\mathsf{tr}_g\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_g h = (n-2k)C_{n,k}\mu^{k-1}\left(\frac{1}{2}\Delta_g\mathsf{tr}_g h + \mu\mathsf{tr}_g h\right),$$

which implies

$$\int_X \mathsf{tr}_g\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_g h\,\nu_g = (n-2k)C_{n,k}\mu^k\int_X \mathsf{tr}_g h\,\nu_g,$$

and recalling that

$$T_g\mathcal{M}_1(X) = \{h \in \mathcal{C}^1(X); \int_X \mathsf{tr}_g h\,\nu_g = 0\},$$

this shows that $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_g(T_g\mathcal{M}_1(X))$ is closed.

We now look at the constraints posed on $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_g$ by diffeomorphism invariance. Using Proposition 4.1 and the identity $\mathsf{tr}_g\delta_g^*\omega = -\delta_g\omega$, $\omega \in \Omega^1(X)$, we compute:

$$\begin{aligned}\beta_g^{(2k)}\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_g h &= C_{n,k}\mu^{k-1}\Bigg((n-2k)\left(\delta_g\delta_g^*\delta_g h + \frac{1}{2k}d\mathsf{tr}_g\delta_g^*\delta_g h\right) - \\ &\qquad -(k-1)\left(\delta_g(\delta_g\delta_g h)g + \frac{1}{2k}d\mathsf{tr}_g(\delta_g\delta_g h)g\right)\Bigg) \\ &= C_{n,k}\mu^{k-1}\Bigg((n-2k)\left(\delta\delta_g^* - \frac{1}{2k}d\delta\right)(\delta_g h) - \\ &\qquad -(k-1)\left(-d(\delta_g\delta_g h) + \frac{n}{2k}d\delta_g\delta_g h\right)\Bigg) \\ &= (n-2k)C_{n,k}\mu^{k-1}\left(\delta_g\delta_g^* - \frac{1}{2}d\delta_g\right)(\delta_g h),\end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\beta_g^{(2k)}\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_g h = (n-2k)C_{n,k}\mu^{k-1}G_g\delta h, \tag{4.2}$$

where

$$G_g = \delta_g\delta_g^* - \frac{1}{2}d\delta_g$$

is elliptic. Now, (4.2) first gives $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_g(T_g\mathfrak{S}_g) \subset \ker\beta_g^{(2k)}$. Also, if $h = \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_g k \in \ker\beta_g^{(2k)}$, $k \in T_g\mathcal{M}_1(X)$, then $\delta_g k \in \ker G$, a finite dimensional space, and this gives

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_g(T_g\mathfrak{S}_g) \subset \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_g(T_g\mathcal{M}_1(X) \cap \ker\beta_g^{(2k)}) \subset \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_g\left(T_g\mathcal{M}_1(X) \cap \delta_g^{-1}\ker G\right).$$

Since $T_g\mathfrak{S}_g$ is closed and has finite codimension in $T_g\mathcal{M}_1(X) \cap \delta_g^{-1}\ker G$, it is easy to check that $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_g(T_g\mathfrak{S}_g)$ is closed in $\mathcal{L}_g\left(T_g\mathcal{M}_1(X) \cap \delta_g^{-1}\ker G\right)$. Thus, the image $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_g(T_g\mathcal{M}_1(X))$ is closed in $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_g(T_g\mathcal{M}_1(X) \cap \ker\beta_g^{(2k)})$, which is closed in $\mathcal{C}^1(X)$.

We conclude that $\mathcal{L}_g = \dot{\mathcal{G}}_g : T_g\mathfrak{S}_g \to \mathcal{C}^1(X)$, even though not surjective, has closed range. Thus, if p is the orthogonal projection of $\mathcal{C}^1(X)$ onto $\mathcal{L}_g(T_g\mathfrak{S}_g)$, the real analytic composite map $p \circ \mathcal{G} : \mathfrak{S}_g \to \mathcal{L}_g(T_g\mathfrak{S}_g)$ is a submersion at g. Thus, $(p \circ \mathcal{G})^{-1}(0)$ is a real analytic manifold near g, with $\mathfrak{M}_{[g]}^{(2k)}$ as its tangent space at g. On this manifold, the map $\mathcal{G}$ is real analytic so that the pre-moduli space

$\mathcal{E}_{2k}(X)_g = \mathcal{G}^{-1}(0)$ is a real analytic subset. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.7, and hence of Theorem 1.6.

5. Further comments and questions

The results in this note give rise to a few basic questions on $2k$-Einstein structures that we would like to briefly discuss here. At a more basic level, it would be highly desirable to find geometric conditions on a $2k$-Einstein metric in order to have the operator $h \mapsto \dot{\mathcal{R}}_g^{(2k)} h$ elliptic. Since in general the first term in the right hand side of (3.2) involves no derivatives of h, this amounts to understanding the symbol of the linear operator

$$h \mapsto c_g^{2k-1} R_g^{k-1} \dot{R}_g h \tag{5.1}$$

in more geometric terms. For example, the constant curvature assumption here implies that this symbol is a multiple of the symbol of the Bochner Laplacian, but a more general ellipticity criterium certainly would be of some use. As is apparent from (5.1), the difficulty here is that for $k \geq 2$ the $2k$-Einstein condition is fully nonlinear in the second derivatives of the metric, thus implying that the symbol of the linearized operator depends on second order data, namely, the curvature. In any case, progress in this issue could be useful in discussing the eventual rigidity of other classes of $2k$-Einstein manifolds like sufficiently pinched manifolds, hyperbolic manifolds and certain classes of symmetric spaces.

References

1. Berger, M., Sur quelques variétés riemanniennes compactes d'Einstein, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* 260 (1965) 1554–1557.
2. Berger, M., Ebin, D., Some decompositions of the space of symmetric tensors on a Riemannian manifold. *J. Differential Geometry* 3 (1969) 379-392.
3. Besse, Arthur L., *Einstein manifolds* Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1987
4. Bourguignon, J.-P., Déformations des métriques d'Einstein, *Astérisque*, 80 (1980), 21-31.
5. Choquet-Bruhat, Y., The Cauchy problem for stringy gravity, *J. Math. Phys.*, 29 (1988), no. 8, 1891-1895.
6. Ebin, D., The manifold of Riemannian metrics, Global Analysis, *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, Vol. XV (1968) pp. 11-40.
7. Koiso, N., Nondeformability of Einstein metrics, *Osaka J. Math.* 15 (1978) 2, 419-433.
8. Koiso, N., Rigidity and infinitesimal deformability of Einstein metrics, *Osaka J. Math.* 19 (1982), 3, 643-668.
9. Labbi, M.-L. Double forms, curvature structures and the (p,q)-curvatures, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 357 (2005), 10, 3971-3992.
10. Labbi, M.-L., Variational Properties of the Gauss-Bonnet Curvatures, arXiv:math/0406548.
11. Lovelock, D., The Einstein tensor and its generalizations. *J. Mathematical Phys.* 12 (1971) 498-501.
12. Moser, J., On the volume elements on a manifold, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 120 (1965) 286-294.

Federal University of Ceará, Department of Mathematics, Campus do Pici, R. Humberto Monte, s/n, 60455-760, Fortaleza/CE, Brazil.
E-mail address: `levi@mat.ufc.br`

Federal University of Piauí, Department of Mathematics, Campus Petronio Portela, Ininga, 64049-550 Teresina/PI, Brazil
E-mail address: `newtonls@ufpi.br`